

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Lacasse & Associates, LLC 1725 Duke Street Suite 650 Alexandria. VA 22314

FEB 1 7 2005

In re Application of

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

Morozov, et al.

Application No. 09/818,909

**DECISION ON** 

Filed: March 28, 2001

**PETITION** 

Attorney Docket No. 10-377 US CIP

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b), January 4, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition" under 37 CFR 1.137(b)."

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply to the "Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due" requiring payment of the issue fee mailed March 9, 2004, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months from its mailing dates. No response was received within the allowable period, and the application became abandoned on June 10, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 25, 2004.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a non-provisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee, or any

In re Application of Morozov, et al. 09/818,909

portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
  - (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).

The instant petition does not satisfy the requirements of item (2) above.

An attempt was made to charge deposit account 12-0010 the petition fee of \$1,500.00 pursuant to the authorization of Thien Tran. According to Office records, Thien Tran is not an authorized user of deposit account 12-0010 so the deposit account could not be charged pursuant to that authorization. Any renewed petition filed must be accompanied by a check for the petition fee or a proper authorization to charge a deposit account.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(703) 872-9306

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

Kenya A. McLaughlin

Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions